

Read this text as referring to myself, as I did not have time to change the pronouns

Currently the [REDACTED] has denied myself, the legal widow, approx \$30,000 of my deceased's super fund with them. GET THIS.. how many people out there know that when a fund member dies ANY SUPER fund can PLACE ITSELF above THE LAW OF THE LAND...and TOTALLY GO AGAINST the fundholder's WISHES. that's right... The husband IN NO WAY SHAPE OR FORM wanted the super payout or any of his estate to go to his interloper girlfriend of under 20 months. He did not make a new will leaving her anything. Any wills that were left made the long term wife and their only children beneficiaries. Why do i say above the LAW OF THE LAND? Because Federal law - Family Law Act clearly states that any defacto to gain division of assets must have been cohabitating for 2 years. Also Victorian law - Laws of Intestacy disqualify defactos under 2 years. So this interloper has NO RECOURSE at any law - AND YET the [REDACTED] has decided she should get all the proceeds. Btw the financial conditions of both spouse and defacto are miles apart and yet the disadvantages of the spouse had no sway. Plus the long marriage, almost 40 Years, had the spouse fully contributing to the husband's earning his super. Again it made no difference. AND this IS WIDESPREAD and well known amongst Probate offices and solicitors. The senior registrar of Probate Victoria matter of factly told my associate that SUPERS do not have to be align or be part of the deceased's estate !!!!!!!!!!! ALSO, the youngest child at uni WAS NOT CONSIDERED worthy. the 3 children are aged 20 + up to 40+ but no the interloper was given the funds.

[REDACTED] has not given PROCEDURAL FAIRNESS, as this super fund was already included in my Family Law proceedings before my husband died.

My barrister said we could get an injunction against them making final determination, but as the fund is only about \$27k, that cost price is not effective for doing the injunction.

I was with my husband for 43 years altogether. I helped him earn his super. And yet the girlfriend comes along in the last few months when husband was not working or earning any more super and [REDACTED] is saying she should get ALL OF THE SUPER.

[REDACTED] and [REDACTED] ALL SAY they GIVE NO CREDENCE or weight to their super funds already being in the Family law matter on sworn affidavits by both sides.

This is SHEER ROBBERY.

Also my husband IN NO WAY willed or indicated that he wanted the girlfriend to have these funds. The girlfriend on audio clearly stated she did not want his supers as he said the same of her supers. Yet [REDACTED] has no heart or decent logic to grant me the super fund