

## SUBMISSION ON POLICY ISSUES IDENTIFIED IN THE INTERIM REPORT

Submitted By: Margo Bunt

Email: [REDACTED]

Phone Number: [REDACTED]

Submission for: My Self

Name of other person, business or organisation:

Do you agree to your submission being published: Yes

Do you agree to your full name being published: Yes

Your submission:

Dear Sirs

I refer to the above, as well as to my previous submission dated 21 May 2018, attached in email format for your reference, but also submitted as an on-line submission.

As previously submitted, under the [REDACTED] I remain, since 1992, a significant and substantive victim of the abuses of processes of successive governments under the legislative, administrative, and legal processes of a FORTY MILLION DOLLAR FRAUD allegation against the Commonwealth and People of Australia brought about by the then [REDACTED]

I re-submit the behaviour of [REDACTED] cannot be isolated as being "in the past", or too long ago to be of value, BECAUSE the behaviour of the [REDACTED] has not shown ANY difference in the dealing with the law which sets the bounds of permissible behaviour. Why should I not be permitted to seek this Commission to hear from me as to the effects the [REDACTED] has created on the people of Australia, BECAUSE of what [REDACTED] created since 1992, and has continued to do for almost three decades?

The [REDACTED] absolutely destroyed my life; and why? Like the banks, because they could.

How does ANY ordinary person EVER get over being taken to the Federal Court Ex Parte to be identified as being part of a FORTY MILLION DOLLAR FRAUD AGAINST THE COMMONWEALTH AND PEOPLE OF AUSTRALIA? The Bunts were victims of the fraud and yet we were completely destroyed for no other reason that the [REDACTED] could do so. The [REDACTED] misrepresented themselves and their power to the Court. All our assets were frozen and for no other reason than to disallow contesting the power of the [REDACTED]. The [REDACTED] have NEVER been held accountable. What the banks did to ordinary Australians as identified in the thousands of submissions to the Commission, is absolutely no different than what the [REDACTED] did to the Bunts.

Maybe if the Commission would permit this historical fact to be identified and understood, it would go a long way to understand the following in the Commission's Interim Report, which identifies on page 271:

"Rarely has ASIC gone to court to have the defaulting party penalised. The criminal prosecutions that have been brought have all been directed at individuals. Civil penalty proceedings have seldom been brought."

I am advised, historically, there is a reason for that present circumstance.

I am no different than ANY other abuse victim! I require this [REDACTED] historical abuse to be acknowledged and apologised. I previously submitted to the Commission several Senate Hansard submissions from Senators of the Senate Legal and Constitutional Affairs Committee; all on the record and NEVER dealt with by the governments of the day for decades. To permit the [REDACTED] of all this abuse to avoid responsibility, and accountability, does nothing other than validate the fact there is one rule of law for the powerful [REDACTED] and another for ordinary citizens. And, why would the [REDACTED] behaviour, or as witnessed by the Commission already, why would the behaviour of any of the financial institutions change?

I seek the Commission to extend the Royal Commission to deal with my historical [REDACTED] issue, as well as the thousands of other ordinary citizens that have been affected by their malfeasance.

I submit this by email in the first instance, but also through the on-line format. Thank you.

Sincerely  
Margo Bunt