

## SUBMISSION ON POLICY ISSUES IDENTIFIED IN THE INTERIM REPORT

**Submitted By:** [REDACTED]

**Email:** [REDACTED]

**Phone Number:** [REDACTED]

**Submission for:** My Self

**Name of other person, business or organisation:**

**Do you agree to your submission being published:** Yes

**Do you agree to your full name being published:** No

**Your submission:**

Following are questions that Commissioner Hayne poses at the end of his interim report, on which he is seeking submissions, and some answers to those questions:

**Commissioner Hayne:** As indicated in Chapter 8, I begin from the premise that no new layer of law or regulation should be added unless there is clearly identified advantage to be gained by doing that. And I begin from the further premise that very simple ideas must inform the conduct of financial services entities.

Hence, the first question to be asked and answered is:

- Is the law governing financial services entities and their conduct too complicated?
  - Does it impede effective conduct risk management?
  - Does it impede effective regulatory enforcement?
  - ...
- Is the regulatory regime too complex? Should there be radical simplification of the regulatory regime?

*My response: Yes to all of the above. As former APRA Principal Researcher and Glass-Steagall bank separation advocate Dr Wilson Sy said in an interview for the [29 June episode of the CEC Report](#), "I think the financial system is too complex for the regulators ... I think we need a much simpler system."*

**Commissioner Hayne:**

- Are APRA's regulatory practices satisfactory? If not, how should they be changed?
- Are APRA's enforcement practices satisfactory? If not, how should they be changed?
- Does the conduct identified and criticised in this report call for reconsideration of APRA's prudential standards on governance?

*My response: No, APRA's behaviour is not satisfactory. Using the excuse of financial stability it has allowed the banks to engage in practices that maximise their profits, but at the expense of their customers. Ultimately this has become a threat to financial stability, because in seeking to increase their profits from mortgages the banks have inflated a massive housing bubble and incurred a \$40 trillion exposure to dangerous derivatives, all of which is a threat to the financial system.*

**Commissioner Hayne:**

7.5 Business structures

- Do the events that have happened raise any issue about business structures?
- Do the events that have happened invite consideration of whether structural changes should now be made?
- Do the events that have happened suggest that manufacturers of financial products should not be permitted to provide, whether by employee or authorised representative, personal financial advice in relation to products of a kind it manufactures?

*My Response: Yes, the banks' structure, a.k.a. vertical integration, created the conflicts of interest between serving their customers, and exploiting their customers to maximise profits for shareholders. The banks cannot be trusted to "manage" these conflicts of interest, and relying on more rigorous law enforcement is unrealistic. The conflicts of interest must be removed, by separating commercial banks from all other businesses.*

**Commissioner Hayne:** What responses should be made to the conduct identified and criticised in this report?

- Are changes in law necessary?
  - Should the financial services law be simplified?
  - ...
- Should the regulatory architecture change?
  - ...
- Is structural change in the industry necessary?

*My Response: Yes, to all of the above. The solution is in legislation that is already before Parliament, the [Banking System Reform \(Separation of Banks\) Bill 2018](#), introduced by the Member for Kennedy Bob Katter on 25 June. It will enact a Glass-Steagall separation of commercial banks from investment banking and all other financial business, and bring APRA under much tighter Parliamentary control.*